UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,800	04/10/2001	Bernadette McGee	1148	1902	
75	90 04/05/2004		EXAM	INER	
ALAN ISRAEL ESQ KIRSCHSTEIN OTTINGER ISRAEL & SCHIFFMILLER P.C.			KRAMER, JAMES A		
489 FIFTH AV		SCHIFFMILLER P.C.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10017		3627	•	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/829,800	INDENCE, BERNADETTE					
·	Examin r	Art Unit	11.				
•	James A. Kramer	3627	M4)				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In 							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.	on. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amore he shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesting the application in condition for allowance be applicated or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.		·				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Examiner believes that the arguments made in the Final Office action are proper. In addition, Examiner points out that Grottsman is not solely concerned with "getting a quick price check". In fact the scope of Grottsman is quite larger (i.e. mySite!, egocentric Interface, Intention-Centric Interface, etc.).

Fichard Chilcot

2.